

From: [James McKenna](#)
To: [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Cc: [Gene Revelas](#); [Jennifer Woronets](#); [Bob Wyatt](#)
Subject: RE: CSM Issue Resolution
Date: 02/14/2011 09:20 AM

Thanks Chip, we appreciate the quick response. Jim.

-----Original Message-----

From: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2011 9:17 AM
To: James McKenna
Cc: Gene Revelas; Jennifer Woronets; Bob Wyatt
Subject: RE: CSM Issue Resolution

Jim - I don't have a problem with those clarifications.

Chip

RE: CSM Issue Resolution

James McKenna

to:
Chip Humphrey

02/12/2011
03:23 PM

Cc:

Jennifer Woronets, Gene Revelas , Bob Wyatt

Chip, a couple of points of clarification which I do not think alter our agreement (but I want to make sure):

We will provide a table in Chapter 10 that describes the process used to reduce the full list of COIs to the 13 indicator chemical, and will also reference the lists, tables and maps in previous sections. This will include referencing maps from previous sections/chapters of chemicals posing unacceptable risk (but not generating NEW figures in Chapter 10 for this purpose).

Also, you mentioned we should reference figures and tables of chemicals "posing unacceptable risk", and I am assuming you meant chemicals "posing potentially unacceptable risk".

Please let me know if my interpretations are correct. Thanks, Jim.

-----Original Message-----

From: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2011 10:08 AM
To: James McKenna
Cc: Jennifer Woronets; Gene Revelas; Bob Wyatt
Subject: Re: CSM Issue Resolution

Jim and Bob

This is to confirm that we have agreement on the path forward for the revision of the CSM in the revised RI Report. Please note a clarification on the first item below in the email from Gene Revelas which we believe is consistent with our recent discussions.

1) In the beginning of Chapter 10, the description of the process used to reduce the full list of COIs to the 13 indicator chemicals will include a list or table of the COIs and reference the list(s), appropriate tables and maps in previous sections of the report that depict the COI sources and nature and extent, and those posing unacceptable risk.

Please let us know if you have any questions regarding the above clarification or the path forward. We look forward to working with the LWG on any of the details for the revisions to the CSM.

Chip Humphrey
EPA

CSM Issue Resolution

Gene Revelas

to:

Chip Humphrey

02/08/201

1 04:26

PM

Cc:

"Jennifer Woronets", jim.mckenna, "Laura Jones"

Hi Chip -

Based on our discussion earlier today, here's my understanding of the agreed upon path forward for the revision of the Draft RI CSM Section (Section 10).

- 1) As previously discussed, we will add text to the beginning of Section 10 that describes the process used by the LWG and EPA to reduce the full list of Portland Harbor COIs to the 13 CSM indicator chemicals discussed and mapped in detail in Section 10.
- 2) EPA agrees to the specific proposed approaches to revising Section 10.2 identified in the attached table (which was provided to EPA by the LWG on February 3, 2011), including the items noted in red.
- 3) The LWG will provide a mapping tool (e.g., ArcReader) that will allow EPA to toggle on/off all the data layers presented on the Section 10 chemical-specific panels (Panels A through C). This mapping tool will allow the user to zoom in and out on any portion of the Study Area with any combination of Section 10 panel data layers on or off. This tool will be provide to EPA with the Draft Final RI.

Thanks,

Gene

Gene Revelas n Senior Managing Scientist
Integral Consulting Inc. n www.integral-corp.com
1205 West Bay Drive NW n Olympia, WA 98502
Tel: 360.705.3534, ext. 18 n Cell: 360.870.4950 n Fax: 360.705.3669
HEALTH | ENVIRONMENT | TECHNOLOGY | SUSTAINABILITY
[attachment "2011-02-03_EPA CSM Outline Response Table.pdf" deleted by
Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US]